

DEQ RD Inspection Survey

Total Responses: 6

Report covers March 1, 2012 - August 9, 2012

1. Did the inspector identify himself/herself and explain the reason(s) for the inspection?

Yes		6 out of 6 responses		100%
No		0 out of 6 responses		0%

2. What is the name of the inspector?

3. Which DEQ program was covered by the inspection?

Aboveground storage tanks		3 out of 6 responses		50%
Brownfield redevelopment		0 out of 6 responses		0%
General cleanup (Part 201)		3 out of 6 responses		50%
Leaking underground storage tank cleanup (Part 213)		0 out of 6 responses		0%
Underground storage tanks		0 out of 6 responses		0%

4. What was the date of the inspection?

2/13/2012
6/12/2012
6/12/2012
6/26/2012
2/6/2012
8/9/2012

5. Did the inspector provide you with a brochure titled "ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTIONS: RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES"?

Yes		6 out of 6 responses		100%
No		0 out of 6 responses		0%

6. Was the inspector professional?

Yes		6 out of 6 responses		100%
No		0 out of 6 responses		0%

7. Was the inspector courteous?

Yes		6 out of 6 responses		100%
No		0 out of 6 responses		0%

8. Did the inspector adequately answer your questions during the inspection?

Yes		6 out of 6 responses		100%
No		0 out of 6 responses		0%

Comments (Q#8):

Inspector was very helpful.

Very helpful in a very stressful time

Very helpful in a very stressful time

Our inspector is very knowledgeable. We asked him a lot of questions and he knew the answers.

9. Did the inspector adequately explain their initial findings to you at the close of the inspection?

Yes		5 out of 5 responses		100%
No		0 out of 5 responses		0%

Comments (Q#9):

Just large objects

10. Did the inspector notify you of any problems needing correction?

Yes		5 out of 5 responses		100%
No		0 out of 5 responses		0%

Comments (Q#10):

Disposing of chemicals-gave number of some contacts to help dispose properly.

Disposing of chemicals-gave number of some contacts to help dispose properly.

No Problems that need correction were found.				
Just remove the objects.				
11. Do you have specific suggestions on how we can improve the inspection process?				
No				
1) if a contractor is hired to do a clean up (as in this case) and refuse to answer to it, the DEQ should have some power to make them clean it up the correct way. It's unfair to the land owner to clean up there mess they left behind. In this case, the contractor walked away scott free and got paid for it..... not good. 2) as in any law inforcement agency, if a person makes a false report as in this case-including any people the DEQ talk too about the site in question...and to find there was no trailer buried, those people should be held for the heavy fine, and share the expense of the work that was required to be done. I never worked with the DEQ in the past, but I would say the inspector did his job and did it well. I was glad I had the chance to meet him at the site. Keep Michigan clean, keep up the good work.				
12. Name, Company, Contact Information (optional)				
13. Which DEQ District Office performed the inspection? (optional)				
Cadillac		0 out of 5 responses		0%
Grand Rapids		2 out of 5 responses		40%
Jackson		0 out of 5 responses		0%
Kalamazoo		0 out of 5 responses		0%
Lansing		0 out of 5 responses		0%
Saginaw Bay		3 out of 5 responses		60%
Southeast Michigan		0 out of 5 responses		0%
Upper Peninsula		0 out of 5 responses		0%